

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No. 359 of 1997  
in  
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 2816 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER  
and  
MR.JUSTICE S.D. PANDIT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?  
1 to 5 : NO

-----

DHIRAJLAL MADHAVLAL BHARATI

Versus

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

-----  
Appearance:

PARTY-IN-PERSON for Petitioner  
TANNA ASSOCIATES for Respondent No. 1  
SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3, 4

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE C.K.THAKKER and

MR.JUSTICE S.D.PANDIT

-----  
Date of decision: 11/09/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT {Per : Thakkar, J.}

Admitted. Mr. B.P Tanna appears and waives service of notice of admission on behalf of the respondent-Corporation. In the facts and circumstances of the case, matter is taken up for final hearing today.

The appellant does not press this appeal on merits. He has, however, raised a grievance against direction given in the Common Judgment and Order dated March 3, 1997 to the payment of cost of Rs. 1000/= by the appellant to the respondent-Corporation.

Mr. B.P Tanna, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent-Corporation fairly stated that the Corporation will not insist for payment of cost of Rs. 1,000/-, as directed by the learned Single Judge.

In view of the said statement, this Letters Patent Appeal does not survive and is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

\*\*\*

Prakash\*